

Quality of Earnings Analysis

Jeff Middleswart jmiddleswart@btnresearch.com

Bill Whiteside, CFA bwhiteside@btnresearch.com

www.btnresearch.com

# Marvell Technology, Inc. (MRVL) Earnings Quality Update

We are maintaining our earnings quality rating of MRVL at 2+ (Minor Concern).

For an explanation of the EQ Review Rating scale, please refer to the end of this report.

#### Summary

December 4, 2023

MRVL's 3Q24 non-GAAP EPS of \$0.41 beat by only 1 cent. Management notes in the 10-Q that Chinese customers have big stocks of MRVL chips. On the earnings call, MRVL confirmed weakness in several end markets and even noted they expect more weakness into the next calendar year. We see several points of concern vs. guidance and sustainability.

- MRVL's stock compensation rose to 11.2% of sales vs. 9.5% in 3Q23 that added 2.6 cents to non-GAAP EPS. It's worth noting that within that increase, gross margin was expected to have 70bp of stock compensation and instead had 111bp.
- MRVL used to add back stepped-up inventory costs after acquisitions and marking the inventory to fair value in calculating its non-GAAP gross margin. Now MRVL is adding back much larger charges for product claims to gross margin. These are legal challenges and can relate to the use of patents and technology. Unlike inventory steps-ups, they seem more likely to be cash charges. Because MRVL purchases technology licenses this also looks like an ongoing cost of doing business. This was 11.6 cents of non-GAAP EPS last quarter.

Non-GAAP adj.	3Q24	2Q24	1Q24	4Q23	3Q23	2Q23	1Q23
Product Claims	\$108.0	\$90.2	\$39.6	\$19.7			
Inv. Step-ups				\$12.7	\$10.4	\$6.3	\$9.3
GAAP Gross Margin	38.9%	38.9%	42.2%	47.5%	50.6%	51.8%	51.9%
non-GAAP	60.6%	60.3%	60.0%	63.5%	64.0%	65.0%	65.5%
EPS from add-backs	\$0.116	\$0.099	\$0.043				

- We noted that 2Q24 was the first time we had seen MRVL report a warranty accrual which
  was \$58.9 million. In 3Q24, the accrual dropped to \$52.4 million. We don't know if that
  was used or reversed but the lower amount was worth another 0.7 cents EPS.
- Variable consideration fell slightly last quarter. These are accruals for price discounts, rebates, and price protection. When the accrual rises, it reduces net sales and vice versa.
   Sales were higher in 3Q with this small drop in accruals. It takes about a \$10 million swing to equal 1 cent in EPS we'll call this neutral for 3Q24.

Var Con/Sales	4Q	3Q	2Q	1Q	4Q	3Q	2Q	1Q
fiscal 24		\$498.3	\$501.5	\$426.3		\$1,418.6	\$1,340.9	\$1,321.7
fiscal 23	\$572.8	\$519.3	\$387.0	\$349.4	\$1,418.4	\$1,537.3	\$1,516.9	\$1,446.9
fiscal 22	\$258.6	\$197.2	\$190.5	\$170.5	\$1,343.0	\$1,211.2	\$1,075.9	\$832.9

 Inventories do look improved. Using GAAP Cost of Goods Sold, finished goods actually turned down and the lower work-in-progress continued. Using non-GAAP COGS – finished goods were 58.8 days vs. 65.4 in 2Q24.

Inventory DSIs	3Q24	2Q24	1Q24	4Q23	3Q23	2Q23	1Q23
Work in Progress	60.8	70.2	79.5	92.4	87.9	88.1	86.5
Finished Goods	37.9	42.5	42.6	38.1	26.7	26.5	22.7

 Cash flow still needs to be adjusted for acquisitions and tech licenses. 3Q24 showed a big boost in free cash flow due to working capital being released:

	3Q24	2Q24	1Q24	4Q23	3Q23	2Q23	1Q23
Cash Ops	\$503	\$113	208	352	411	332	195
CapEx	<u>\$54</u>	<u>\$111</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>37</u>
Free Cash	\$449	\$1	\$109	\$298	\$368	\$259	\$158
Cash Ops	\$503	\$113	208	352	411	332	195
CapEx	\$54	\$111	\$100	\$54	\$43	\$73	\$37
Purchase Tech	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$2	\$5	\$3	\$2
Acquisitions	\$0	\$6	\$0	\$9	\$4	\$55	\$44
Tech Licenses	<u>\$32</u>	<u>\$29</u>	<u>\$50</u>	<u>\$39</u>	<u>\$32</u>	<u>\$22</u>	<u>\$49</u>
Adj. Free Cash	\$417	(\$33)	\$56	\$247	\$327	\$180	\$63
Working Capital	\$205	-\$123	\$2	-\$134	-\$13	-\$203	-\$300

## Explanation of EQ Rating Scale

- 6- (Exceptionally Strong)- Indicates uncommonly conservative accounting policies to the point that revenue and earnings are essentially understated relative to the company's peers. Higher possibility of reporting positive earnings surprises
- 5 (Strong)- Indicates the company has no areas of concern with its reported results and we see very little risk of the company disappointing due to recent results being overstated from aggressive reporting in recent periods.
- 4 (Acceptable)- Indicates the company may have exhibited a minor "red flag", but the severity of the issue is not yet a concern. Minimal risk of an earnings disappointment resulting from previous earnings or cash flow overstatement
- 3 (Minor Concern)- Indicates the company has exhibited either a larger number of or more serious warning signs than companies receiving a 4. The likelihood of an immediate earnings or cash flow disappointment is not considered to be high, but the signs mentioned deserve a higher degree of attention in the future.
- 2 (Weak) Indicates the company's recently reported results have benefitted materially from aggressive accounting. Follow up work should be performed to determine the nature and extent of the problem. There is a possibility that upcoming results could disappoint as the impact of unsustainable benefits disappears.
- 1 (Strong Concern)- Indicates that the company's recent results are significantly overstated and that we view a disappointment in upcoming quarters is highly likely

In addition to the numerical rating, the EQ Review Rating also include either a minus or plus sign. A minus sign indicates that our analysis shows the overall earnings quality of the company has worsened since the last review and there is a possibility the numerical rating will fall should the problem continue into upcoming quarters. Likewise, a positive sign indicates that the overall earnings quality is improving, and the company may see an upgrade in its numerical rating should the trend continue.

### Key Points to Understand About the EQ Score

The EQ Review Rating is much more than a blind, quantitative scoring method. While we utilize proprietary adjustments, ratios, and methods developed over decades of earnings quality analysis, the foundation of all of our analysis is reading recent SEC filings, press releases, conference call transcripts and in some cases, conversations with managements.

The EQ Review Rating is not comparable to a traditional buy/sell rating. The Rating is intended to specifically convey the extent to which reported earnings may be over/understated. Fundamental factors such as forecasts for future growth, increasing competition, and valuation are not reflected in the rating. Therefore, a high score does not in itself indicate a company is a buy but rather indicates that recent results are a good indication of the underlying earnings and cash generation capacity of the company. A low score (1-2) will likely result in us performing a more thorough review of fundamental factors to determine if the company warrants a full-blown sell recommendation.

#### Disclosure

Behind the Numbers, LLC is an independent research firm structured to provide analytical research to the financial community. Behind the Numbers, LLC is not rendering investment advice based on investment portfolios and is not registered as an investment adviser in any jurisdiction. All research is based on fundamental analysis using publicly available information including SEC filed documents, company presentations, annual reports, earnings call transcripts, as well as those of competitors, customers, and suppliers. Other information sources include mass market and industry news resources. These sources are believed to be reliable, but no representation is made that they are accurate or complete, or that errors, if discovered, will be corrected. Behind the Numbers, LLC does not use company sources beyond what they have publicly written or discussed in presentations or media interviews. Behind the Numbers does not use or subscribe to expert networks. All employees are aware of this policy and adhere to it.

The authors of this report have not audited the financial statements of the companies discussed and do not represent that they are serving as independent public accountants with respect to them. They have not audited the statements and therefore do not express an opinion on them. Other CPAs, unaffiliated with Mr. Middleswart, may or may not have audited the financial statements. The authors also have not conducted a thorough "review" of the financial statements as defined by standards established by the AICPA.

This report is not intended, and shall not constitute, and nothing contained herein shall be construed as, an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any securities referred to in this report, or a "BUY" or "SELL" recommendation. Rather, this research is intended to identify issues that investors should be aware of for them to assess their own opinion of positive or negative potential.

Behind the Numbers, LLC, its employees, its affiliated entities, and the accounts managed by them may have a position in, and from time-to-time purchase or sell any of the securities mentioned in this report. Initial positions will not be taken by any of the aforementioned parties until after the report is distributed to clients, unless otherwise disclosed. It is possible that a position could be held by Behind the Numbers, LLC, its employees, its affiliated entities, and the accounts managed by them for stocks that are mentioned in an update, or a BTN Thursday Thoughts.