

Sealed Air – 3Q20 Update Maintain SELL

We still rate SEE as a SELL. The story remains much of the same – weak growth (negative with FX included) and giving guidance that is far off what the company actually experiences. Adjusted EPS of 82-cents has at least 17-cents from unexpected items or items that shouldn't be added back.

- **Price/Cost spread added to earnings again.** SEE has contracts with customers that allow it to recover cost inflation. It also means cost deflation should also lower prices. The result is the company is expected to net to zero over time in terms income coming from favorable raw material costs.

SEE came into 2020 saying that after windfall profits from price/cost throughout 2019 –investors should expect a negative swing in this area of \$70 million in 2020. It warned again on the 3Q call that it is guiding to negative price/cost starting in 4Q20. We shall see about that guidance – but the problem is the longer this rubber band is stretched – the more it should correct in the future. This has been a key source of EPS and EBITDA growth:

	3Q20	2Q20	1Q20
Price Cost	\$9	\$19	\$7
EPS Boost	\$0.05	\$0.08	\$0.04
Total EBITDA Gain	\$18	\$23	\$37
Due to Price/Cost	\$9	\$19	\$7
Due to Acquisition	\$4	\$14	\$13

- **The company guided to a 27% tax rate coming into 2020.** In 1Q, they enjoyed a lower tax rate by realizing \$10 million in tax settlements that were not in guidance. They

guided to 27% after 2Q. 3Q saw a large drop in tax rate due to changes in US tax rates regarding low-taxed foreign earnings. That added 8-cents to EPS for 3Q20 and was not guided to or announced during the quarter. After two drops, the company is guiding to 26% for a tax rate for 2020 now. The dispute with the IRS to disallow a \$1.49 billion tax deduction has still not been resolved and no further guidance was given by SEE other than to say it may be resolved within 12-months.

- **There still isn't much growth at all here.** We have been pointing out that for a company that supplies industries in the fresh food business and e-commerce – it simply isn't growing:

	North Am	EMEA	APAC	total pre-S.Am	S. Am	Total
2019 Sales	\$729.4	\$250.0	\$180.8	\$1,160.2	\$58.3	\$1,218.5
Price gain	-\$10.8	\$0.9	-\$0.1	-\$10.0	\$9.3	-\$0.7
Volume gain	<u>\$12.9</u>	<u>-\$5.7</u>	<u>\$2.3</u>	<u>\$9.5</u>	<u>-\$1.8</u>	<u>\$7.7</u>
2020 Sales	\$731.5	\$245.2	\$183.0	\$1,159.7	\$65.8	\$1,225.5
Org Growth y/y	0.3%	-1.9%	1.2%	0.0%	12.9%	0.6%
FX \$ impact	-\$5.3	\$5.9	\$3.8	\$4.4	-\$16.8	-\$12.4
2020 Growth with FX	-\$3.2	\$1.1	\$6.0	\$3.9	-\$9.3	-\$5.4
Actual Growth %	-0.4%	0.4%	3.3%	0.3%	-16.0%	-0.4%

The basics of this table are that SEE has zero organic growth without adding in South America where price hikes are huge. However, the reason South America has high pricing power is because it loses almost twice as much on FX. In reality, South America is a negative growth story.

So, here is another zero on growth for 3Q20. It is -2.4% for the 9 months of 2020. We actually think this is worse considering how many people are eating at home and how much grocery sales have soared. Plus, think how many people are ordering even toothpaste and paper towels in the mail. Looking at the table above under price/cost – it is clear that EBITDA growth is not being driven by organic growth. It even had a surge in demand at the end of 1Q as customers stocked up on packaging supplies.

- **SEE continues to add back its FX hits to Adjusted EPS as well as third party consulting fees – both of which occur essentially every quarter:**

Adjustments to Income	3Q20	2Q20	1Q20
3rd Party Consultants	\$7.2	\$3.8	\$4.0
FX impacts	\$1.1	\$1.2	\$0.9

For 3Q20 – here was another recurring 4.3 cents that SEE added back to adjusted earnings. If we remove that from their adjustments along with the 8-cents in tax issues they didn't guide to and the 5-cents in Price/Cost issues they said would be a drag, not a gain – we get adjusted EPS of 65-cents for 3Q20. That compares to estimates of 66-cents and prior year EPS of 64-cents and they gained 2-cents from an acquisition for 3Q20 in the past year too.

- **Guidance for 2020 was raised but not due to business improving. SEE raised Free Cash Flow guidance from \$375 million to \$450 million.**
 - \$30 million comes from the tax rebates related to 3Q changes
 - \$15 million comes from cutting the forecast on capital spending
 - \$15 million comes from cutting the cash spending on their restructuring plan
 - \$6 million comes from a 1% cut in the forecasted tax rate

Explanation of EQ Rating Scale

6- "Exceptionally Strong"	Indicates uncommonly conservative accounting policies to the point that revenue and earnings are essentially understated relative to the company's peers. Higher possibility of reporting positive earnings surprises
5- "Strong"	Indicates the company has no areas of concern with its reported results and we see very little risk of the company disappointing due to recent results being overstated from aggressive reporting in recent periods.
4- "Acceptable"	Indicates the company may have exhibited a minor "red flag", but the severity of the issue is not yet a concern. Minimal risk of an earnings disappointment resulting from previous earnings or cash flow overstatement
3- "Minor Concern"	Indicates the company has exhibited either a larger number of or more serious warning signs than companies receiving a 4. The likelihood of an immediate earnings or cash flow disappointment is not considered to be high, but the signs mentioned deserve a higher degree of attention in the future.
2- "Weak"	Indicates the company's recently reported results have benefitted materially from aggressive accounting. Follow up work should be performed to determine the nature and extent of the problem. There is a possibility that upcoming results could disappoint as the impact of unsustainable benefits disappears.
1- "Strong Concerns"	Indicates that the company's recent results are significantly overstated and that we view a disappointment in upcoming quarters is highly likely.

In addition to the numerical rating, the EQ Review Rating may also include either a minus or plus sign. A minus sign indicates that our analysis shows the overall earnings quality of the company has worsened since the last review and there is a possibility the numerical rating will fall should the problem continue into the next quarter. Likewise, a positive sign indicates that the overall earnings quality is improving, and the company may see an upgrade in its numerical rating should the trend continue.

Key Points to Understand About the EQ Score

The EQ Review Rating is much more than a blind, quantitative scoring method. While we utilize proprietary adjustments, ratios, and methods developed over decades of earnings quality analysis, the foundation of all of our analysis is reading recent SEC filings, press releases, conference call transcripts and in some cases, conversations with managements.

The EQ Review Rating is not comparable to a traditional buy/sell rating. The Rating is intended to specifically convey the extent to which reported earnings may be over/understated. Fundamental factors such as forecasts for future growth, increasing competition, and valuation are not reflected in the rating. Therefore, a high score does not in itself indicate a company is a buy but rather indicates that recent results are a good indication of the underlying earnings and cash generation capacity of the company. A low score (1-2) will likely result in us performing a more thorough review of fundamental factors to determine if the company warrants a full-blown sell recommendation.

Disclosure

Behind the Numbers, LLC is an independent research firm structured to provide analytical research to the financial community. Behind the Numbers, LLC is not rendering investment advice based on investment portfolios and is not registered as an investment adviser in any jurisdiction. All research is based on fundamental analysis using publicly available information including SEC filed documents, company presentations, annual reports, earnings call transcripts, as well as those of competitors, customers, and suppliers. Other information sources include mass market and industry news resources. These sources are believed to be reliable, but no representation is made that they are accurate or complete, or that errors, if discovered, will be corrected. Behind the Numbers, LLC does not use company sources beyond what they have publicly written or discussed in presentations or media interviews. Behind the Numbers does not use or subscribe to expert networks. All employees are aware of this policy and adhere to it.

The authors of this report have not audited the financial statements of the companies discussed and do not represent that they are serving as independent public accountants with respect to them. They have not audited the statements and therefore do not express an opinion on them. Other CPAs, unaffiliated with Mr. Middleswart, may or may not have audited the financial statements. The authors also have not conducted a thorough "review" of the financial statements as defined by standards established by the AICPA.

This report is not intended, and shall not constitute, and nothing contained herein shall be construed as, an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any securities referred to in this report, or a "BUY" or "SELL" recommendation. Rather, this research is intended to identify issues that investors should be aware of for them to assess their own opinion of positive or negative potential.

Behind the Numbers, LLC, its employees, its affiliated entities, and the accounts managed by them may have a position in, and from time-to-time purchase or sell any of the securities mentioned in this report. Initial positions will not be taken by any of the aforementioned parties until after the report is distributed to clients, unless otherwise disclosed. It is possible that a position could be held by Behind the Numbers, LLC, its employees, its affiliated entities, and the accounts managed by them for stocks that are mentioned in an update, or a BTN Thursday Thoughts.

